

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,699	12/08/2003	Timothy J. Toth	TOMZ 2 00436	3987	
7590 04/28/2006 FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP			EXAMINER		
			CYGAN, MICHAEL T		
Cleveland, OH	Avenue, Seventh Floor 44114-2518		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2855		

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>H</i> 'I
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/730,699	TOTH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael Cygan	2855	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO period - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Ily be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a)∐ T	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
	ince this application is in condition for allowan	•	• •	
Cl	losed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition	n of Claims			
4a 5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. laim(s) 12-21 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application	1 Papers			
10)⊠ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/an pplicant may not request that any objection to the corper of the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the corper of the corp	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
	) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date 1/16/2004	_	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/730,699

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson (US 4,345,468). Jackson discloses the claimed invention, a plastic sight gauge shield having first and second end portions [40,42], central portion and through-bore (Fig. 5), and first and second sidewall portions separated by first and second elongated and diametrically opposed slots, with web members spanning the slots to connect the sidewall portions at locations near each end; see Figure 5 and column 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US 4,345,468) in view of Ray (US 1,157,805). Jackson teaches the claimed invention except for application to a faucet for an urn. Ray teaches the application of a sight gauge having a shield on a coffee urn faucet; see Figure 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an urn faucet as taught by Ray as the application for the sight gauge shield of Jackson, to form a shielded sight gauge, since Ray teaches that urn faucets are desirably improved with a water gauge having a shield; see page 1.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed, since the prior art neither discloses nor makes obvious the claimed method of molding a sight gauge shield.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

